

Path to Famine: The Deliberate Contamination of Agricultural Land

By Jeremy James




In the past year several representatives of the world ruling 'Elite', including the US President and the World Economic Forum, have warned of an impending crisis in our food supply. They offered no hard evidence to support their assertion but merely gave it as a fact. This would suggest that it is part of a wider plan to generate social unrest and impose a 'global' system of government.

For those who have been watching the marked collapse in the insect population, which has been allowed to pass without comment in the mainstream media, this is not unexpected. Insects comprise a critical part of the living material or biomass which sustains and nourishes our environment. The US President could have referred to the contraction in the insect population as a factor that will adversely affect our food supply, but he didn't. The Elite want the public to passively accept what is happening and not to think too deeply about the way this unfolding crisis has been engineered.

In this paper we examine some of the methods that the Elite have been using to secure enough control over the production and distribution of food to enable them to disrupt and curtail worldwide supply at some future date.

GMOs

Perhaps the most obvious method is the introduction of genetically modified organisms (GMOs). Most of the soya on the world market is genetically modified, though the public is not aware of this. Many other grains and foodstuffs have a GM variant, making it increasingly difficult to avoid them. Farmers who contract to grow GMO grains are obliged to purchase the seed from a controlling corporation like Monsanto/Bayer. Since the seed is sterile the farmer is unable to use part of last year's yield to plant this year's crop. Should the corporation decide for any reason to withhold the seed, or raise the price to an unaffordable level, the farmer will be forced out of business. It is not known how much of the world's grain supply is controlled in this way but even if it's only 10 percent – a very conservative estimate – it means just a few corporations have the power to suddenly restrict production and cause retail prices to spike. Food which is too costly for the consumer to afford is just as 'unavailable' as food which doesn't reach the shelves.



Monopolistic control

All food producers rely on an efficient distribution system to take their products to the consumer. The number of companies operating in this business has been steadily contracting, to the point where today just a few corporations control nearly 80 percent of the market for common grocery items consumed in the US. The consumer is under the illusion that he has a wide variety of brands to choose from, when in reality most of the products are brought to market by just a few mega-corporations. Many 'competing' brands are made by the same company. These corporations dominate every link in the food supply chain, from the sale of seeds and fertilizers, to food processing plants and meat factories, to food storage and transport, to the nationwide supermarket chains which bring the food to the consumer.

Where food products are concerned the farmers and growers are tied to the price offered for commodities by the big corporations. Since the corporations control the pricing they are able, by working together, to drive a sizeable number of producers into bankruptcy by suddenly dropping the price. This is pushing more and more medium-sized farmers out of business and enabling predatory corporations to buy up large chunks of agricultural land at very attractive prices. This oppressive technique has been used extensively in recent decades to pass more and more control of American food production into the hands of just a few large corporations.

We often refer to Big Pharma and Big Tech, but we probably under-estimate the immense power exercised by Big Food. Just a handful of food giants own and control most of the market in the groceries we buy – Kraft Heinz, General Mills, Conagra, Unilever, Delmonte, Kellogg, Nestlé, Danone, P&G, PepsiCo, Mars, and Post Holdings. The processing and marketing of grains is substantially controlled by just three companies – Cargill, ADM, and Continental Grain. Up to about 1990 or so, many Americans bought their groceries at a local store, but today two-thirds of the retail market is controlled by just four supermarket giants – Walmart, Costco, Kroger, and Ahold Delhaize.




Mergers in the meatpacking industry in recent years mean that just a few corporations now control most of the meat production and pricing in America. These include Tyson, JBS, Cargill and Smithfield. Two of these are under foreign control: JBS is a wholly-owned subsidiary of a Brazilian company of the same name, while Smithfield was bought by the Chinese WH Group in 2013.

The control which these corporations exercise over pricing is so great that farmers are now paid less for their meat than thirty years ago. Most stay in business by relying on government subsidies. The biggest subsidies are paid for corn production, but most of the corn grown in the US is used as animal feed. This means the taxpayer is paying twice for the meat he consumes, with all profits going to the mega-corporations.

Fertilizer

High yield crops need fertilizer to furnish the soil with additional nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. Farmers have always made use of soil-enrichment techniques, but the modern fertilization system has had a revolutionary impact on food production. It is estimated that crop yields would be 30% to 50% less if fertilizer was not applied to the soil. This explains why the use of nitrogen fertilizers has increased 9-fold over the past 60 years. The world's food supply is now heavily dependent on the ready availability of these high-quality fertilizers.

Potash is an important mineral ingredient in many types of fertilizer but it is not widely available. About half of the world's potash supply comes from Russia and Belarus (Russia's immediate neighbor to the west). The current stand-off between Russia and the West has greatly increased the cost of fertilizer and interrupted supply. Constraints affecting the transport of fertilizer over the US rail network have added to these problems. As a result farmers may be forced to plant next year's crop at a loss, while consumers may find they are paying considerably more for grain-based products such as bread. The price of meat will also rise in step with the increased cost of animal feed.



**Aerial photos of the borders of neighboring countries,
Showing how the regular application of fertilizer
greatly affects agricultural output.**

[Top: Kazakhstan and China. Bottom: Turkey and Syria.]

For reasons that are difficult to understand, the US government has been imposing tariffs and duties on the import of phosphate fertilizers from both China and Morocco, both of which are major suppliers on the world market. This obliges American farmers to find alternative sources at greater cost and at greater risk of interruption and delay.

Rising energy costs will also have a big impact on the production and transport of fertilizer, putting strong upward pressure on the price paid by farmers. Nitrogen fertilizer prices, for example, have increased fourfold in the past two years.

Corn-derived Ethanol

Few political decisions have been as morally reprehensible as the decision to allow a proportion of corn output to be diverted to the production of ethanol. While estimates vary, the amount of cultivated land devoted to the production of corn-derived ethanol is around 15% to 20%. According to the US Department of Agriculture, 40% of the corn harvest in 2011 was used to make ethanol. The European Union is currently trying to impose climate-change policies which would result in 20% of all farmland being devoted to the production of biofuel. The situation is made worse by the introduction of generous subsidies and tax incentives to boost the production of corn-derived ethanol.

Independent economists freely admit that this perverse misuse of the food supply is driving up the price of corn on the world market and posing a long-term threat to world food security. The market forces which affect energy prices are becoming entangled with those which affect food prices and giving priority to profit-chasing corporations over low-income consumers.



Even from a purely materialistic point of view, using valuable agricultural land to produce energy is insanely inefficient. The real cost, in broad economic terms, vastly exceeds the cost of extracting an equivalent amount of oil from the ground. Of course, the politicians know this but they are in thrall to the big corporations. The agenda that the Elite are pursuing has nothing whatever to do with energy or the environment, but solely with the imposition of a mechanism that will enable them to control the price and supply of food, in this case corn.

That a staple in our food supply should be hijacked in this way is a moral obscenity. It is psychopathic in the full meaning of that term and should have been condemned by all Christian churches from the outset.

Weather modification technology

The public is painfully unaware of the extent to which the Elite are using weather modification technology to reduce crop yields. Areas of sustained drought are fairly easy to create and maintain. What is more, the moisture withheld from one region can be used to inundate another, ruining crops in the run-up to harvest. This deadly technology has been available for at least fifty years and is now being used on a grand scale to damage arable land, reduce the food supply, and drive farmers and growers out of business.

Chem trails

The chemical aerosols that are being dispersed in the skies above Western nations contain a complex mix of constituents. It is unclear as yet whether they include ingredients that could impair plant growth and crop yield. What is clear, however, is that they could possibly be used for that purpose in the future. The public has grown so accustomed to these “white lines in the sky” that few would pause to consider a possible link between crop disease and the use of high-altitude aerosols.



Exaggerated diseases

The World Health Organization, along with senior figures in the pharmaceutical industry, have for decades been promoting the notion that domestic fowl are unusually vulnerable to deadly airborne contagious diseases. Media reports of large-scale culling in China and other Asian countries have been used to reinforce this perception. Gradually the public has been conditioned to believe, based on the slimmest of evidence, that a deadly “bird flu” could emerge from nowhere and wipe out most of the world’s population of domestic fowl. In order to prevent this dreadful outcome the WHO would authorise the slaughter of millions of birds in the region close to the source of the outbreak. However, it would take only a single reported case in another country to warrant a similar slaughter. The WHO would not be required to furnish independent evidence to prove that any of this was necessary. Their word, seemingly, would suffice, just as it did with ‘Covid’.

Thus the Elite would appear to have the power to declare a bird flu pandemic that would result in the destruction of a vitally important part of the world's food supply. Literally billions of chickens, ducks and turkeys would either be killed or declared unsafe for human consumption.

A similar tactic could be used to destroy the pigmeat industry.



When one considers how easily the Satanic cabal imposed an international lockdown using the grossly exaggerated threat of 'Covid', it is difficult to see how a scam like this could fail. Producers who tried to prevent the mass cull of their flock would be prosecuted and jailed for obstructing the urgent implementation of measures to prevent the spread of disease and protect human health. Protesters who gathered outside poultry farms would receive similar treatment, while their leaders would be represented in the press as common thugs who were trying to put animal rights before human rights.

Climate change deception

For years the 'Elite' have been promoting the lie that manmade 'global' warming will cause irreparable damage to the earth's climate. The science behind this is patently bogus, but the endless propaganda in the media has convinced the public that a real problem exists and "something must be done." The illusion is reinforced by the use of weather modification technology to create extreme weather events (see above).

The so-called greenhouse gas emission targets are designed to limit industrial output and make it increasingly difficult for western countries to maintain their existing standard of living. These ridiculous targets are also being used to reduce agricultural output and drive farmers out of business. For some reason the public fails to see that reduced agricultural activity will result in reduced output, higher prices, and food shortages. This alarming message is being suppressed by the media. Instead the public is being asked to envisage a future where the continuation of existing practices will result in climatic changes which are so severe that civilization as we know it will be under threat. For example, Dutch farmers are being told that global warming will cause the sea level to rise and inundate their arable land. To avoid this calamitous outcome they must greatly reduce the amount of greenhouse gases that they produce.

However, the achievement of these preposterous targets will drive many of them out of business – see insert below.



“Thousands of farmers have gathered in the central Netherlands to protest against the Dutch government’s plans to rein in emissions of nitrogen oxide and ammonia, driving their tractors across the Netherlands and snarling traffic on major highways.

“Wednesday’s protest was organised earlier this month after the government published nationwide targets for reducing emissions, sparking anger from farmers who claim their livelihoods – and those of thousands of people who work in the agricultural service industry – are on the line.

“Calling it an “unavoidable transition”, the government mandated reductions in emissions of up to 70 percent in many places close to protected nature areas and as high as 95 percent in other places.”


– Aljazeera.com/news, 22 June 2022

The people of the Netherlands should support their farmers in opposing this nefarious plan. Alas, they cannot see that their political leaders are liars and deceivers, that they are marching in step with their globalist overlords toward a New World Order. The threat of global warming is a despicable scam which the Satanic Cabal are using to crush the West and reduce the populations of Europe and N America to the status of serfs or indentured slaves.

Energy costs

The public has long been conditioned to believe that ‘market forces’ decide the price of oil, but this simply isn’t true. It costs the Saudis less than \$5 to get a barrel of oil out of the ground. The price in excess of that is pure profit. The basic extraction price in other countries may be higher but is unlikely to lie above €15 a barrel. The high prices we had to endure in recent years were set by a cartel, depending on how much profit they wanted to make and the various economic benefits that would accrue to big business from moving the price up and down.

There is enough oil in the ground in the US to meet its needs, both industrial and domestic, for the next hundred years or more. There is no need whatever to import oil from places like Saudi Arabia or Mexico. The government uses the global warming scam to convince the public that oil is an atmospheric pollutant and that ‘cleaner’ forms of energy must be developed. This relieves the pressure on the government to open up new pipelines or develop new oil fields. The public has actually been made to feel guilty about using this natural form of energy and is being psychologically conditioned to accept a lower standard of living in order to “save the planet.” (By the way, the earth is not a planet and oil is produced naturally in the earth’s mantle. It is not a ‘fossil’ fuel. Dinosaurs are a hoax.)




Small farmers in the US, who are already burdened by an onerous list of federal, state and local regulations, have just been hit with a requirement by the SEC (Securities and Exchange Commission) to comply with what is being called the Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) rule. It requires farmers to devote more of their time to the collection and reporting of environmental data and disclosing yet more information about their private business affairs. They are also required to purchase expensive farm management software to support this time-wasting activity. Marxists have long boasted that they will impose more and more rules and regulations on small businesses until it becomes too difficult to run them efficiently. Furthermore, unintentional ‘violations’ of these copious rules will be used as an excuse to hit producers with nasty fines and penalties, forcing them out of business.

- affordable food requires affordable energy

Affordable energy is essential if we are to have affordable food. As we have seen, the price of fertilizer is a major cost for farmers. So too is diesel. Farm management relies heavily on affordable fuel to run tractors, trucks and machinery. This time last year the price of diesel was \$3.27 per gallon; today it is \$5.72 and rising. That’s an increase of 75% in a very short time. Farmers will have no choice but to pass on these additional costs to consumers, driving up the price of groceries and making it harder and harder for low income families to survive. The additional fuel costs incurred by truckers and the food transport sector will also have to be factored in.

As the Secretary-General of the UN stated on 22 June:

“The main costs to farmers are fertilizers and energy. Fertilizer prices have risen by more than half in the past year, and energy prices by more than two thirds. All harvests will be hit, including rice and corn — affecting billions of people across Asia, Africa and the Americas. This year’s food access issues could become next year’s global food shortage. No country will be immune to the social and economic repercussions of such a catastrophe.”



Is this all by design? Of course it is! The Elite have been planning it for years. As we said, they control the price of oil and can move it up and down as they please. This is why they can announce the imminent arrival of food shortages with such certainty – and with such evident satisfaction.

Supply chain disruptions

The so-called Covid ‘pandemic’ introduced the public to the concept of supply chain disruptions and specifically the role played by China in the provision of essential components in many manufacturing and industrial processes. The unavailability of key parts or materials can prevent other sectors of the economy from functioning normally. We don’t know, as yet, how this factor has affected food production to date or how it will do so in the future. However, it would be very surprising if it is not cited in due course as one of the reasons for the coming food crisis.

Arson and sabotage

Many Internet commentators have drawn attention to the unusually high incidence of serious fires at major food-processing plants across America in the past year. There have been similar reports from the UK and a few other countries. The circumstances behind several of these incidents would suggest that they were deliberate, but we can only speculate. If nothing else they show us how easily our food supply can be disrupted if saboteurs are determined to cripple essential infrastructure.



Directed energy weapons

There have also been reports of entire herds of cattle dropping dead in less than an hour. Again we can only speculate as to the cause. If they were poisoned or suffered heat exhaustion they would have taken ill first and expired over a longer period. Reports suggest that these animals – about 3,000 in one case – were perfectly healthy and had not been showing signs of distress. Some commentators believe their feed may have been contaminated over a period of several weeks or months with nano-grade graphene particles. A strong electromagnetic pulse could then have been used to induce heart failure.



It is upsetting to have to speculate along these lines but we are living in a time when the Enemy is ruthlessly deploying a wide variety of clandestine weapons and advanced technology to attack mankind and impose a ‘new world order’. The Satanic cabal who serve him have no regard for human life. In analyzing their actions and the gruesome deeds of which they are capable, we need to set aside any lingering belief that they might still retain a sliver of conscience – they don’t! As the Word of God says:

**“The wicked watcheth the righteous, and seeketh to slay him.”
(Psalm 37:32)**

Biosludge

We now arrive at a subject which beggars belief. If the facts of the matter were not spelt out clearly in official documentation many of our readers could justifiably claim that we had exaggerated the extent of the problem. Alas, the practise we are about to describe is widespread in both N America and parts of Europe, and possibly in other countries as well.

It can be summarized as follows:

Following treatment, human sewage is rendered into a form known as biosludge. If the biosludge meets certain environmental standards, it can be reclassified as a “biosolid” and applied to agricultural land in place of fertilizer. About 60% of the sewage sludge produced by treatment facilities in the US in 2019 was spread on farmland and gardens. Farmers are being told by the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) that biosolids may be safely applied to the soil, even though they are known to contain more than sixty contaminants which other government agencies have classified as “hazardous” or a “priority pollutant”. The EPA does not test for the presence of these substances but checks only for the presence of nine metals (arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, and zinc). The procedures used to test for these metals are known to be inadequate and the EPA is unable to guarantee that heavy metals are not present in some of the biosolids approved for agricultural use. Biosolids have been applied to the soil by farmers across America for over twenty years. Should the government decide to test agricultural land to see whether the soil is safe for producing human food products, it could well find that many farms fail to meet the minimum standard. If so, they would have to cease operating. If the government decided to raise the testing standard – on the advice of ‘experts’ working for the New World Order – the number of farms disbarred from further production could be dangerously high. The impact on food supply would be catastrophic.



Many professionals in the field of biochemistry, food production, and agricultural science have been warning of the possible risks associated with the widespread use of biosolids, but their concerns have been repeatedly ignored. Some have called for the practise to be discontinued with immediate effect.

Under the heading, ‘Assessing Pollutants Found in Biosolids’, the EPA states:

Assessing the potential risk of pollutants found in biosolids is the top priority of EPA's Biosolids Program. EPA identifies pollutants found in biosolids through open literature reviews and sewage sludge surveys in order to assess their potential risk to public health and the environment. More than 700 pollutants have been found to occur in biosolids (in at least one instance) since EPA began tracking their occurrence in 1993... Not all of the approximately 700 pollutants that have been found in biosolids will be present in every wastewater treatment facility. Pollutants found in biosolids will vary depending upon inputs to individual wastewater treatment facilities over time. The presence of a pollutant in biosolids alone does not mean that the biosolids pose harm to human health and the environment.

[Last updated 19 April 2022]

Source: <https://www.epa.gov/biosolids/basic-information-about-biosolids>

Even by their own admission, the EPA has little information about the pollutants in biosolids or the adverse health effects that they may be producing. The stark absence of any reference to a rigorous testing procedure – or indeed to ANY testing procedure – is very troubling.



Headquarters of the EPA in Washington DC.

It is with good reason that a spokesperson for the Sierra Club [an environmental awareness organization] has called biosolids “the most pollutant-rich manmade substance on earth.” Before it was approved for use on agricultural land in the 1990s, sewage sludge had to be disposed of securely, either by incineration or by dumping it at sea. Since these two options gave rise to safety and environmental concerns, it was decided to spread it on farmland instead!

Dangerous “forever chemicals” known as PFAS


Among the most dangerous chemicals found in biosolids are PFAS or polyfluoroalkylated substances. These are manmade chemicals consisting of multiple fluorine atoms attached to a chain of carbon atoms. PFAS are widely used in industrial processes and over 4,700 different types are known to exist. The properties which make them suitable for industrial use – water resistance, oil resistance, and chemical stability – are the same properties which allow them to persist for years in the soil. For this reason they are sometimes called “forever chemicals.” Some PFAS have been linked to cancer, hormonal disruption, and diminished immune response.

The Irish EPA [which has no connection to the US EPA] states:

“Human and environmental exposure to PFAS can arise from contaminated water and food, PFAS-containing consumer products, household dust and air as well as the reuse of PFAS contaminated sewage sludge as fertiliser resulting in PFAS pollution in soil and crops.”

<https://www.epa.ie/our-services/monitoring--assessment/waste/chemicals/pfas/>

So, the Irish equivalent of the US EPA actually warns of the serious health dangers posed by PFAS in biosolids, while the US EPA allows the practise to continue, without testing and without comment! We are dealing here with a terrible crime against the American people.




Organs affected by PFAS.

The public is asked to believe that this practise is safe and yet major food corporations like Dole, Heinz and Delmonte will not accept crops grown in biosolids!

Scathing report by the Office of the Inspector General

The activities of all federal agencies in the US are audited by the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). The purpose of the audit is to identify inefficient or unlawful activities. The officials who carry out the audit have no connection to the agency and are essentially independent, both as regards the methods they use to conduct the audit and the findings they release for public consideration.



<https://www.epa.gov/office-inspector-general/report-epa-unable-assess-impact-hundreds-unregulated-pollutants-land>

The OIG carried out an in-depth inspection of the EPA in 2018 and published their findings and conclusions in a scathing report. These are very plainly expressed but suffer, as expected, from bureaucratic understatement. Every patriotic American should read these extraordinary findings and ask themselves how an organization like this – a federal agency mandated to protect their nation from environmental pollutants and poisons – has been allowed to mislead and deceive the public in the most brazen fashion for the past twenty years. We will summarize the OIG findings later but we strongly recommend that readers go through the actual text since it clearly shows the commission of ‘high crimes and misdemeanors’ by hundreds of top officials in every Administration from the Clinton presidency to the present day:

OIG Report: selected extracts

“The controls over the land application of sewage sludge (biosolids), including laws, regulations, guidance, policies or activities, were incomplete or had weaknesses and may not fully protect human health and the environment. The EPA consistently monitored biosolids for nine regulated pollutants. However, the agency lacked the data or risk assessment tools needed to make a determination on the safety of 352 pollutants found in biosolids. The EPA identified these pollutants in a variety of studies from 1989 through 2015. Our analysis determined that the 352 pollutants include 61 designated as acutely hazardous, hazardous or priority pollutants in other programs.” *[A list of these hazardous pollutants may be found in **Appendix A** attached]*

“However, the EPA has chosen to reduce staff and resources in the biosolids program over time, creating barriers to addressing control weaknesses identified in the program. Past reviews showed that the EPA needed more information to fully examine the health effects and ecological impacts of land-applied biosolids. In these cases where more information is needed but does not exist, the related law and regulations are silent on whether the EPA is required to obtain additional data to complete biosolids risk assessments. Without such data, the agency cannot determine whether biosolids pollutants with incomplete risk assessments are safe. The EPA’s website, public documents and biosolids labels do not explain the full spectrum of pollutants in biosolids and the uncertainty regarding their safety.”

“Control weaknesses in the EPA’s implementation of laws, regulations, guidance, policies or activities related to the EPA’s biosolids program pose a risk to the agency’s mission to protect human health and the environment from risks related to the land application of biosolids. The EPA has chosen to deprioritize the biosolids program and staff over time, creating barriers to its ability to address control weaknesses.”

“Existing biosolids data and studies do not fully examine the pollutants found in biosolids, especially unregulated pollutants. Until such research and data exist, the EPA cannot determine if any regulations should be issued. In over 20 years, no new pollutants have been regulated... The EPA does not have complete risk assessment information on these pollutants; therefore the agency cannot say, whether the pollutants are safe or unsafe when found in biosolids... The EPA’s most recent list of pollutants found in biosolids with incomplete risk assessments included 352 pollutants. When present in biosolids material, it is not well established if or how these pollutants are harmful to humans or the environment, or at what level they are harmful.”

“The EPA lacks data on human health and ecological toxicity values (e.g., studies that are adequate for evaluating hazards following acute or chronic exposure to pollutants) as well as other parameters to complete these risk assessments. In the EPA’s 2015 biennial review of biosolids (the most currently published review), it stated in the summary that the available data for many of the pollutants identified were not sufficient at that time to evaluate risk using then-current biosolids modeling tools... The need for additional biosolids research has been raised by many groups and individuals, including a review by the National Academy of Sciences...”

“On its website, the EPA does not disclose that because it cannot assess the safety of the 352 pollutants found in biosolids, it cannot inform the public as to whether the biosolids are safe... In the face of data gaps, a lack of risk assessment tools, and uncertainty regarding the safety of biosolids, the EPA is not providing clear and complete information to the public. Concerned citizens are questioning the safety of biosolids, particularly for agricultural land, and some municipalities voted on local ordinances restricting biosolids altogether. The EPA’s webpage on biosolids has a section on frequently asked questions about biosolids that includes the question “Are biosolids safe?” EPA scientists currently working on biosolids cannot definitively say whether the pollutants in biosolids are safe without completing the full risk-assessment process on all identified pollutants. However, this constraint is not disclosed on the EPA’s public website.”

“More recently, USGS scientists and other researchers identified organic chemicals in biosolids. They also found that biosolids contained relatively high concentrations of the active ingredients commonly found in pharmaceuticals as well as other emerging chemicals. A prior OIG report... found that sewage treatment plants may not be adequately testing for or treating wastewater entering their facilities and are at risk of discharging hazardous chemicals. The EPA is aware of this information and that harmful unregulated pollutants could end up in biosolids...”

“Despite the data and control weaknesses, the EPA implies that, when used correctly, biosolids are safe. The EPA does not disclose the shortcomings of information used to assess safety, nor does it reveal that potentially harmful and unregulated pollutants are present in biosolids such as pharmaceuticals, steroids and flame retardants.”

If we summarize these findings and state them in plain language, the OIG report is telling us that:

- The EPA is not fit for purpose;
- The public is being deliberately deceived;
- Agricultural soil across America is being systematically polluted;
- The pollutants accumulate in the soil and enter the food chain;
- The EPA’s testing methodology is grossly inadequate;
- Numerous requests to redress these deficiencies were ignored;
- Biosolids are allegedly tested for nine metal contaminants but the EPA conducts no systematic examination of the adequacy of these tests;
- The EPA does not know how long these pollutants remain in the soil, how quickly they enter the food chain, or what health problems they cause;
- The EPA has not given any commitment to improve its testing procedures or to fill in its extensive data gap. Instead it has reduced the number of staff who are assigned to this work.

How did the EPA respond to the OIG Report? Were their top executives shaken to the core? Not at all! As the following excerpt from their response shows, they simply treated it as no more than a slap on the wrist:

“It can be challenging to communicate information about risks to human health and the environment. Risk assessment is a scientific process that, according to longstanding EPA policies, considers three primary factors: (1) how much of a chemical is present in an environmental medium (e.g., biosolids); (2) how much contact a person or ecological receptor has with the contaminated environmental medium; and (3) the inherent toxicity of the chemical. The presence of a pollutant in biosolids alone does not equate to scientific risk, but the EPA's Biosolids Program is working hard to prioritize its risk assessment work for known but not yet regulated pollutants.”

They are “working hard” to do their job properly. How comforting. Their arrogance is unbelievable.

CONCLUSION

Alas, these are the people who are running America today. The Satanic cabal are busily doing everything they can to undermine the health, wealth and social fabric of the only nation on earth that stands between them and the imposition of an insanely dangerous totalitarian world government. They are poisoning the land and preparing the way, via land closures and barring orders, for serious food shortages and severe social unrest.

We commend the OIG and the authors of the Report – Tina Lovingood, Jill Trynosky, Jenny Drzewiecki, Ben Beeson, and Patrick Milligan – for revealing the truth. If only we had more like them.

**“LORD, how long shall the wicked,
how long shall the wicked triumph?
How long shall they utter and speak hard things?
and all the workers of iniquity boast themselves?
They break in pieces thy people, O LORD,
and afflict thine heritage.
They slay the widow and the stranger,
and murder the fatherless.
Yet they say,
The LORD shall not see,
neither shall the God of Jacob regard it.”
- Psalm 94:3-7**

As Christians we are greatly blessed to know that the calamities that have been planned will be followed by an even greater plan, one which God alone has designed, where Jesus Our Savior will return to the earth and destroy the Children of Wickedness. We need to fix our eyes on this glorious event and not allow ourselves to become demoralized by the Enemy and his sickening schemes.

Praise the LORD for His Mercy!

I would urge our readers to immerse themselves in the Book of Revelation and ask the LORD to bless and enlarge their understanding:

**“Thou wilt keep him in perfect peace,
whose mind is stayed on Thee:
because he trusteth in Thee.”
- Isaiah 26:3**

**Jeremy James
Ireland
July 4, 2022**

- SPECIAL REQUEST -

Time is running out...

Regular readers are encouraged to download the papers on this website for safekeeping and future reference. They may not always be available.

For an easy way to download all papers (over 300), please email me.

We are rapidly moving into an era where material of this kind may be obtained only via email. Readers who wish to be included on a future mailing list are welcome to contact me at the following address:-

jeremypauljames@gmail.com

For further information visit www.zephaniah.eu

Copyright Jeremy James 2022

APPENDIX A

**List of unassessed pollutants found in biosolids that
appear on a hazardous or priority pollutant list
published by another federal agency**

2,3,7,8 Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
2-Propanone
Antimony
Benz(a)anthracene
Benzo(a)pyrene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene
Beryllium
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate
Carbamazepine
Carbon tetrachloride
Chloroaniline, 4-
Chloroform
Chloronaphthalene, 2-
Cresol, p- (4-methylphenol)
Chrysene
Cyanide
Cyclophosphamide
Dichlorobenzene, 1,3-
Dichlorobenzene, 1,4-
Dimethoate
Dimethyl phthalate
Di-n-butyl phthalate
Di-n-octyl phthalate
Endosulfan, α
Endosulfan, 13
Estradiol, 17 α -
Estradiol, 17 β -
Estradiol-3-benzoate, 13-
Estriol (estradiol)
Estrone
Ethylbenzene

Ethynyl estradiol, 17 α -
Fluoranthene
Heptachlor epoxide
Mestranol
Methylene Chloride
Napthalene
Nitrophenol, p-
N-nitrosodibutylamine (NDBA) 924-16-3
N-nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) 55-18-5
N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) 62-75-9
N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine (NDPA) 621-64-7
N-nitrosodiphenylamine (NDPhA) 86-30-6
N-nitrosopiperidine (NPIP) 100-75-4
N-nitrosopyrrolidine (NPYR) 930-55-2
Norethindrone (norethisterone)
Norgestimate
Norgestrel (levonorgestrel)
Pentachloronitrobenzene
Phenanthrene
Progesterone
Pyrene
Silver
Sodium valproate
Testosterone
Tetrachloroethylene
Thallium
Toluene
Trichlorophenol, 2,4,5-
Warfarin

Source: Office of Inspector General, Report No. 19-P-0002, November 15, 2018:
'EPA Unable to Assess the Impact of Hundreds of Unregulated Pollutants in
Land-Applied Biosolids on Human Health and the Environment'